

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/612,778                                     | 07/01/2003  | Jim Young Kim           |                      | 5908             |  |
| 7590 04/06/2006                                |             | EXAMINER                |                      |                  |  |
| Jim Young Kim                                  |             |                         | WILLATT, STEPHANIE L |                  |  |
| 1957 Bronxdale Ave., # C-32<br>Bronx, NY 10462 |             |                         | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |             |                         | 3732                 |                  |  |
|  |             | DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006 |                      |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | Application No.                    | Applicant(s)          |  |  |  |
|--|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary  |  | 10/612,778                         | KIM, JIM YOUNG        |  |  |  |
|  |  | Examiner                           | Art Unit              |  |  |  |
|  |  | Stephanie L. Willatt               | 3732                  |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>or Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |                                    |                       |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |                                    |                       |  |  |  |
| 1)[\inf  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Oc</u>   | ctober 2005.                       |                       |  |  |  |
| •  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  |                                    |                       |  |  |  |
| 3)   | ,—   |                                    |                       |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.                                |                                    |                       |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | ion of Claims  |                                    |                       |  |  |  |
| 4)🖂  | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application.   |                                    |                       |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |                                    |                       |  |  |  |
| 5) 🗌   | Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |                                    |                       |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠  | Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.   |                                    |                       |  |  |  |
| 7)   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |                                    |                       |  |  |  |
| 8)□  | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |                                    |                       |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | ion Papers   |                                    |                       |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |                                    |                       |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |  |                                    |                       |  |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).                  |                                    |                       |  |  |  |
|  | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |                                    |                       |  |  |  |
| 11)  | 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.             |                                    |                       |  |  |  |
| Priority (   | under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |                                    |                       |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>  |  |                                    |                       |  |  |  |
| Attachmen  | • •  | Δ.Π                                | (DTO 442)             |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:   |  |                                    |                       |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/612,778 Page 2

Art Unit: 3732

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
  - Claim 2 recites the limitation "the teeth" in lines 1 and 3. There is insufficient
    antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
  - Claim 2 is vague and indefinite because "a plurality of the teeth" as recited in line
     4 of claim 2 appears to be a double inclusion of "the teeth" recited in lines 1 and
     3 of claim 2.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,778

Page 3

Art Unit: 3732

• Claim 2 recites the limitation "the receiver top" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1 and 2, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sofer (US 6,460,546).

Sofer discloses an applicator for hair liquid comprising three layers (rows formed with one tooth of the outside circle, a tooth opposite from that tooth on the outside circle, and one or two of the inside teeth that align with the two outer teeth to form a straight row) of teeth (tines 18), as shown in Figure 4. Together, the teeth (18) form a zigzag pattern. Each tooth has openings that are formed as slots (38) at the tip of the tooth, as shown in Figure 5A and discussed in column 6, lines 31-34. Fluid is dispensed through the tooth slot (38) in multiple directions. The teeth (18) are attached to a receiver top (base 14).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 03 October 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., that the opening extends over 70% of the tooth height) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

#### Conclusion

6. This action is a **final rejection** and is intended to close the prosecution of this application. Applicant's reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to this action is limited either to an appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences or to an amendment complying with the requirements set forth below.

If applicant should desire to appeal any rejection made by the examiner, a Notice of Appeal must be filed within the period for reply identifying the rejected claim or claims appealed. The Notice of Appeal must be accompanied by the required appeal fee of \$500.

If applicant should desire to file an amendment, entry of a proposed amendment after final rejection cannot be made as a matter of right unless it merely cancels claims or complies with a formal requirement made earlier. Amendments touching the merits of the application which otherwise might not be proper may be admitted upon a showing

Application/Control Number: 10/612,778

Art Unit: 3732

a good and sufficient reasons why they are necessary and why they were not presented earlier.

A reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection must include the appeal from, or cancellation of, each rejected claim. The filing of an amendment after final rejection, whether or not it is entered, does not stop the running of the statutory period for reply to the final rejection unless the examiner holds the claims to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, if a Notice of Appeal has not been filed properly within the period for reply, or any extension of this period obtained under either 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b), the application will become abandoned.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephanie L. Willatt whose telephone number is (571) 272-4721. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

Art Unit: 3732

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

elw/

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER